LEAF-LEVEL PHYSIOLOGY, BIOMASS, AND REPRODUCTION OF PHYTOLACCA AMERICANA UNDER CONDITIONS OF ELEVATED CO₂ AND ALTERED TEMPERATURE REGIMES

Jin-Sheng He,*'† Kelly S. Wolfe-Bellin,1'† and F. A. Bazzaz†

*Department of Ecology, College of Environmental Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China; and †Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, U.S.A.

The effects of increasing air temperature and changing daily temperature regime under conditions of elevated CO₂ on the physiology, biomass, and reproduction of a C₃ plant species were investigated. Phytolacca americana L. (Phytolaccaceae) was grown under either ambient (370 μ mol mol⁻¹) or elevated (700 μ mol mol⁻¹) CO₂ at three air temperature regimes (day/night temperatures of 26°/20°C, T₁; 30°/24°C, T₂; and 28°/ 24°C, T₃). Length of day/night temperature exposure was adjusted so that average daily temperature was 22°C in T₁ and 26°C in T₂ and T₃. Daily temperature regime was different for T₂ and T₃: plants in T₂ experienced a higher maximum daily temperature but for a shorter daily duration than plants in T_3 . Elevated CO_2 increased photosynthetic rate, total biomass, and root-to-shoot ratio (RSR) and decreased stomatal conductance and transpiration as well as allocation to reproduction. In contrast, elevated temperatures had no effect on photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance, or total biomass, but they decreased RSR and increased transpiration, reproductive biomass, and allocation. Both elevated CO₂ and increased temperatures advanced timing of flowering. The plant-level transpiration rate exhibited a unique response to each of the daily temperature regime treatments. These results indicate that elevated CO2 and increased temperatures elicit different responses at the physiological and whole-plant levels in P. americana, with little interaction between the CO₂ and temperature effects. Furthermore, some evidence indicates that a changing daily temperature regime may be an important factor determining plant responses to warming temperatures and should be incorporated into predictions of plant and ecosystem responses to future climate change.

Keywords: elevated CO₂, elevated temperature, *Phytolacca americana*, biomass, root-to-shoot ratio, reproductive allocation, photosynthesis, transpiration.

Introduction

Increasing atmospheric CO₂ concentration and air temperature are both important factors affecting plant physiology, growth, development, and reproduction (Stirling et al. 1998; Morison and Lawlor 1999). The concentration of atmospheric CO₂ has increased during the past 250 yr from ca. 280 to 370 μ mol mol⁻¹, and it is predicted to increase to between 540 and 970 μ mol mol⁻¹ by the year 2100 (Prentice et al. 2001). Average global air temperature increased by ca. 0.6°C in the 20th century (Folland et al. 2001), and it is predicted to increase between 1.7° and 4.9°C by the year 2100 (Cubasch et al. 2001; Wigley and Raper 2001). In addition, most of the rise in global temperature over the past 40 yr can be attributed to a change in daily temperature regime, with nighttime minimum temperature increasing more than daytime maximum temperature (Karl et al. 1993; Horton 1995; Easterling et al. 1997), and this trend is predicted to continue into the 22nd century (Cubasch et al. 2001; Dai et al. 2001).

Manuscript received June 2004; revised manuscript received January 2005.

The effects of rising atmospheric CO₂ and temperature on plants and ecosystems have been investigated in a number of experiments (Ackerly et al. 1992; Hunt et al. 1996; Stirling et al. 1998; Tjoelker et al. 1998; Wayne et al. 1998; Loiseau and Soussana 2000; Lee et al. 2001; Lewis et al. 2001; Luomala et al. 2003; see reviews by Rawson 1992; Saxe et al. 1998; Morison and Lawlor 1999; Amthor 2001; Norby and Luo 2004; Pendall et al. 2004). However, the warming treatments in most of the elevated CO₂ and temperature studies have not considered how changing aspects of the daily temperature regime may affect plants and ecosystems. For example, if nighttime temperatures increase more than daytime temperatures (Karl et al. 1993; Horton 1995), maintenance respiration in plants could increase (Ryan 1991; Griffin et al. 2002), thus increasing the ratio of dark respiration to photosynthesis and decreasing plant growth. In fact, dark respiration has been found to increase in some crop plants and trees grown under warm nighttime conditions (Manunta and Kirkham 1996; Turnbull et al. 2001, 2004). Furthermore, studies indicate that increasing nighttime temperatures may have a variety of effects on agricultural plants that differ from those of increasing daytime temperatures alone (Seddigh et al. 1989; Mooney et al. 1994; Manunta and Kirkham 1996; Moot et al. 1996).

¹ Author for correspondence; current address: Department of Biology, College of the Holy Cross, 1 College Street, Worcester, Massachusetts 01610, U.S.A.; telephone 508-754-2024; e-mail kwolfe@ holycross.edu.

We conducted an experiment investigating how elevated CO_2 , increasing air temperature, and changing daily temperature regime may affect the C_3 plant *Phytolacca americana*. Physiological processes are the only mechanisms by which plants can respond directly to rising CO_2 (Drake et al. 1997; Long 1999), and increasing air temperature may modify these responses (Farrar and Williams 1991; Long 1991; Hunt et al. 1996). Thus, we measured the physiological responses of photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance, and transpiration rate, as well as the whole-plant responses of total biomass accumulation, biomass allocation, phenology, and reproductive allocation.

Material and Methods

Study Organism

Phytolacca americana L. (Phytolaccaceae; pokeweed) is a polycarpic perennial herb common to much of eastern North America, ranging from Quebec and Ontario to northern Mexico (Caulkins and Wyatt 1990). It grows in open disturbed areas, particularly forest edges and canopy gaps (Sauer 1952; Caulkins and Wyatt 1990; Wilson and Shure 1993). It utilizes the C3 photosynthetic pathway (Basinger 2002) and is a predominantly autogamous species (Armesto et al. 1983). In an earlier study, we found that P. americana in its natural habitat grows to an average height of 98 cm, produces an average of 28 g of total biomass, and grows roots to a depth of 15-25 cm, depending on soil conditions (He and Bazzaz 2003). Under natural conditions, this perennial species can grow to reproductive maturity within a single season, making it a desirable species for glasshouse experiments.

Experimental Design and Growth Conditions

The experimental facility consisted of twelve $1.0 \times 1.0 \times 2.0$ -m environmentally controlled glass chambers located in a glasshouse facility at Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts. Each chamber received one of six CO2 and air temperature treatments (two CO₂ treatments × three temperature treatments \times two chambers per treatment). CO₂ levels in the chambers were maintained at either 370 or 700 μ mol mol^{-1} . The three air temperature regimes consisted of day/ night temperatures of 26°/20°C (control; T₁), 30°/24°C (4°C increase for both day and night; T₂), or 28°/24°C (2°C increase for day and 4°C increase for night; T₃). In the T₁ and T₂ treatments, the daytime temperature was maintained for 10 h d⁻¹, from 0800 to 1800 hours, and the nighttime temperature for 14 h d⁻¹, from 1800 to 0800 hours Eastern Standard Time (EST). In the T₃ treatment, the daytime temperature was maintained for 14 h d⁻¹, from 0700 to 2100 hours, and the nighttime temperature for 10 h d^{-1} , from 2100 to 0700 hours EST, so that the mean temperature of T_3 was equal to that of T_2 . Average daily temperature in T_1 was 22°C, and average daily temperature in T₂ and T₃ was 26°C. Thus, the two elevated-temperature treatments varied in daytime high temperature and daily duration of high temperature; plants in T₂ were exposed to a greater maximum temperature (30°C), but for only 10 h d⁻¹, while plants in T_3 were exposed to a cooler maximum temperature (28°C), but for 14 h d^{-1} .

Temperatures in the control treatment (T_1) were based on the 40-yr mean of summer temperatures (June–August) in eastern Massachusetts, according to data available from the National Climatic Data Center of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. The T_2 and T_3 treatments were based on predictions of global air temperature change within the next century (Cubasch et al. 2001; Dai et al. 2001; Wigley and Raper 2001).

The glass chambers were exposed to natural sunlight supplemented with light from metal halide lamps to partially compensate for some reduction in natural light by the glasshouse facility. When the photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) was lower than 500 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ between 0800 and 1800 hours, which occurred on overcast days, the metal halide lamps were automatically turned on. As a result, the minimum PAR level in each chamber was 300 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹. Daytime relative humidity was ca. 70% in all chambers.

Mature seeds of P. americana were collected from a population in Lexington, Massachusetts, in October 1999. On May 31, 2001, seeds were sown into horticultural starter trays. Seeds were stratified for 2 wk at 4°C and then placed in the glass chambers. On June 28, when plants had at least two true leaves, seedlings were transplanted into 5.0-L (17 cm diameter \times 25 cm deep) plastic pots filled with a 1 : 4 mixture of garden soil : Pro-Mix general-purpose growing medium (Premier Horticultural Company, Red Hill, PA). This soil had a background nutrient level of $1.0-2.0 \text{ mmol } \text{L}^{-1} \text{ N}$ $(NO_3^- \text{ and } NH_4^+)$, and no additional fertilizer was added during the experiment. Plants were individually drip-irrigated to maintain soil moisture at 16%-27% by volume. Eight seedlings were randomly assigned to each of the 12 chambers (8 seedlings \times 6 treatments \times 2 replicate chambers), which resulted in 96 total plants in the experiment. The locations of individual plants within each chamber were randomized twice during the growth period to compensate for potential light differences within chambers.

Phenology and Biomass Measurements

As a measure of phenology, we recorded the elapsed time from planting to first flower opening for each individual. Once all plants had reached full flowering stage, 24 plants at the same stage of development were harvested. Total leaf area was measured for each of these plants in order to calculate plant-level transpiration rates. The remaining 72 plants were harvested once seeds were fully mature.

At each harvest, total leaf area per plant was measured with a LI-3100 area meter (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE). The biomass of each plant was partitioned into leaf, stem, reproductive (fruit), and root components and dried at 65° C to constant weight.

Physiological Measurements

Leaf-level net photosynthesis at saturating light (A_{sat}) and stomatal conductance (g) were measured at the seedling, flowering, and mature seed stages of plant development (65, 91, and 119 d after planting, respectively). Values of A_{sat} and g were measured with an open path gas exchange system

Table 1								
Results of Multivariate ANOVA for the Effects of the CO ₂ and Temperature Treatments								
Source	df (H)	df (E)	Wilks's λ	Р				
CO ₂	4	63	0.498	< 0.0001				
Temperature	8	126	0.420	< 0.0001				

Note. The multiple-response variables included total biomass, reproductive biomass, biomass allocated to reproduction, and root-toshoot ratio of *Phytolacca americana*. The parameters df (H) and df (E) denote the degrees of freedom for the hypothesis and error sum of squares cross-product matrices, respectively.

126

0.817

0.1096

8

 $CO_2 \times temperature$

(LI-6400 portable photosynthesis system) with a red-blue light source and a CO₂ mixer (Li-Cor). Measurements were conducted on eight plants within each of the six treatments. During both the A_{sat} and g measurements, leaf cuvette temperature was maintained at the level of the daytime temperature treatment in which the plant had been grown, and relative humidity in the leaf cuvette was kept at 50%–65%. The reference CO₂ concentration in the leaf cuvette was maintained at 370 µmol CO₂ mol⁻¹ in the ambient-CO₂ treatment and 700 µmol CO₂ mol⁻¹ in the elevated-CO₂ treatment. The saturating photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) was set at 1500 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹.

Plant-level transpiration rate (g $H_2O m^{-2} d^{-1}$) was measured as whole-plant water loss over a 24-hr period. Transpiration was measured in 24 plants at the full flowering stage. Before measurement, the soil of each plant pot was well watered and then sealed with plastic attached both to the edges of the pot and to the base of the plant stems to contain water evaporated from the soil. Mass of the pots was measured before and after the 24-hr period. Immediately after transpiration measurements were completed, the 24 plants were harvested, and total standing leaf area per plant was measured. The daily transpiration rate was calculated for each plant by dividing the 24-hr water loss by total standing leaf area.

Statistical Analyses

Effects of the CO₂ and temperature treatments on total biomass, reproductive biomass, biomass allocated to reproduction (defined as reproductive biomass divided by the sum of the above- and below-ground vegetative biomass), and rootto-shoot ratio (RSR; defined as root biomass divided by aboveground biomass) were analyzed using a two-factor multivariate ANOVA (MANOVA). Wilks's λ was used to test for significance of treatment effects. The response variables listed above, as well as phenology (days to flower following planting) and plant-level transpiration, were also analyzed in a series of subsequent two-factor ANOVA tests to determine which dependent variables exhibited significant responses to the experimental treatments. All ANOVAs were performed using the general linear models procedure, employing type III sums of squares. Significant effects were explored using Scheffé post hoc tests for subsequent multiple comparisons. Two-way repeated-measures ANOVA (Scheiner and Gurevitch 2001) tests were used to determine the main fixed effects of CO₂ and temperature on A_{sat} and g, and their interaction over time. All analyses were conducted in SAS, version 8.01 (SAS Institute 1999). Biomass variables were log-transformed to meet assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variances. Untransformed means are presented in the figures.

Results

Total Biomass and Biomass Allocation

Results from the MANOVA indicated that both the CO₂ and temperature regimes had significant effects on total biomass and reproductive allocation, with no significant $CO_2 \times$ temperature interaction (table 1). Further ANOVA revealed that the total biomass of mature plants was significantly increased by elevated CO₂ (table 2), with enhancement ratios from 1.33 to 1.49 (biomass at elevated $CO_2/$ biomass at ambient CO_2 ; fig. 1*a*). In contrast, total biomass was not significantly affected by a 4°C increase in mean daily temperature (cf. T1 with T2 and T3). Reproductive output, however, was significantly increased by elevated mean temperature, but it was not significantly affected by elevated CO_2 (table 2; fig. 1b). Across temperature treatments, elevated CO₂ significantly increased RSR. In contrast, temperature significantly decreased RSR across the CO2 treatments (table 2; fig. 1c). Total biomass, reproductive output, and RSR all exhibited no significant response to changing daily temperature regime (cf. T_2 with T_3 ; fig. 1).

Both elevated CO_2 and elevated temperature had significant effects on reproductive allocation (reproductive biomass/sum of above- and below-ground vegetative biomass; table 2). Elevated CO_2 significantly decreased biomass allocation to reproductive organs, while elevated temperature significantly increased it under both ambient and elevated CO_2 (fig. 2). Plants exhibited no significant response in reproductive allocation to changing daily temperature regime.

Phenology

Both elevated CO_2 and increased temperature significantly advanced the timing of flowering (table 2; fig. 3). Plants

Table 2

F Values from Two-Factor ANOVAs

Source	CO_2	Temperature	$CO_2 \times temperature$
Total biomass	17.13**	0.16	0.11
Reproductive biomass	1.21	6.91*	0.53
Repro/vegetative biomass	20.53**	33.58**	1.13
RSR	9.70^{*}	35.90**	0.55
Days to flowering	110.56**	118.79^{**}	0.52
Transpiration rate	38.00**	13.26^{*}	1.04

Note. ANOVAs were conducted separately for total biomass, reproductive biomass, biomass allocated to reproduction, root-to-shoot ratio (RSR), days to flowering, and whole-plant transpiration rate of *Phytolacca americana* grown under two CO_2 concentrations and three temperature regimes. Degrees of freedom in the model were 1 for CO_2 and 2 for temperature and $CO_2 \times$ temperature.

* *P* < 0.01.

** P < 0.001.



Fig. 1 Total biomass (*a*), reproductive biomass (*b*), and root-toshoot ratio (*c*) of *Phytolacca americana* grown at three temperature regimes (day/night temperature 26°/20°C, 30°/24°C, or 28°/24°C) under either ambient (370 μ mol mol⁻¹) or elevated (700 μ mol mol⁻¹) CO₂. CO₂ enhancement ratios (biomass at elevated CO₂/biomass at ambient CO₂) for total biomass and reproductive biomass are shown above each bar pair. Different letters above each bar pair in *b* and *c* represent a significant difference (*P* < 0.05) in means across CO₂ treatment, based on Scheffé post hoc comparisons. Mean+1 SE is shown for each treatment (*n* = 12).

flowered an average of 3 d earlier under elevated CO_2 and an average of 6 d earlier under elevated temperature (cf. T_1 with T_2 and T_3). Changing daily temperature regime, however, did not affect time to flowering (cf. T_2 with T_3).

Leaf-Level Physiology

Leaf-level photosynthetic capacity at saturating light (A_{sat}) decreased with plant development (fig. 4*a*). At the time of flowering (91 d after planting), A_{sat} increased under elevated CO₂ but was not significantly affected by elevated temperature or changing daily temperature regime (table 3; fig. 4*a*).

The CO₂ effect became less pronounced by the time seeds were mature (119 d after planting), thus contributing to a significant CO₂ × time interaction. Similarly, stomatal conductance (g) decreased with plant development (fig. 4b). Elevated CO₂ led to a significant reduction in g at both the flowering and mature seed stages, while elevated temperature and changing daily temperature regime did not significantly affect g, resulting in a significant temperature × CO₂ interaction (table 3; fig. 4b).

Transpiration

Plant-level transpiration rate significantly decreased under elevated CO_2 but increased under elevated temperature (table 2; fig. 5). In addition, changing daily temperature regime affected transpiration; transpiration rate was highest under conditions of the higher daily temperature and shorter daily duration of high temperature, compared with the treatment with lower high temperature and longer daily duration of high temperature (cf. T₂ with T₃; fig. 5).

Discussion

Physiological Impacts of Elevated CO₂ and Temperature

Short-term photosynthetic rate is predicted to increase with rising atmospheric CO_2 concentrations, while stomatal conductance and transpiration are predicted to decrease (reviewed in Long 1999). All three physiological processes of *Phytolacca americana* in this study responded to elevated CO_2 as predicted. Rising temperatures, in contrast, are predicted to cause an increase in transpiration rate and stomatal conductance under well-watered conditions (Leuning 1995; Monteith 1995; Grace 1997). In our study, transpiration exhibited the predicted response, but stomatal conductance exhibited no response to increasing temperature. Furthermore,



Fig. 2 Reproductive allocation of *Phytolacca americana*. Different letters above each bar represent a significant difference (P < 0.05) in mean ratios, based on Scheffé post hoc comparisons. Mean + 1 SE is shown for each treatment (n = 12).



Fig. 3 Flowering phenology of *Phytolacca americana*. Mean ± 1 SE is shown for each treatment (n = 12).

it has been predicted that high temperatures may increase the magnitude of the positive photosynthetic response to elevated CO₂ (Farrar and Williams 1991; Long 1991; Kirschbaum 1994; Drake et al. 1997) because of potential increases in maintenance respiration and photorespiration in C3 plants (Farrar and Williams 1991; Long 1991; Ryan 1991; Griffin et al. 2002) causing increased sink demand for carbohydrates. While some evidence supports this prediction (Sage et al. 1995; Ziska and Bunce 1997; Turnbull et al. 2002), the results of the present study do not. The value of Asat increased under elevated CO2 but exhibited no further increase with warm temperatures. Thus, although we did not directly measure respiration, the photosynthesis results indicate that respiration did not increase, or it may not have increased enough under warm temperatures to increase carbohydrate sink demand detectably. Recent studies with Douglas fir (Psuedotsuga menziesii) seedlings also found that the effect of elevated CO₂ on net photosynthetic rate was largely independent of temperature (Lewis et al. 2001). This leads us to conclude that the $CO_2 \times temperature$ effect on photosynthetic rate found in other studies may be species specific or may occur primarily at temperatures higher than those applied in this study (cf. the 4°C temperature increase in this study and Lewis et al. 2001 and the 10°-11°C increase in Sage et al. 1995; Ziska and Bunce 1997; Turnbull et al. 2002).

Of all the variables measured in this experiment, transpiration rate was the only one to exhibit a response to change in daily temperature regime. Transpiration rate was highest in the elevated-temperature treatment with the higher daily maximum temperature and shorter duration of high temperature. Thus, it appears that transpiration rate in a 24-hr period may be more strongly related to maximum temperature than to the duration of high temperatures throughout the day. Interestingly, plants exhibited a similar transpiration response to the daily temperature regime treatments at both ambient and elevated CO_2 .

Biomass Accumulation and Allocation under Elevated CO₂ and Temperature

Many plants generally exhibit long-term, slightly elevated photosynthetic rates under elevated CO₂ (Drake et al. 1997; Curtis and Wang 1998; Morison and Lawlor 1999; Körner 2000; Sholtis et al. 2004), resulting in increased biomass accumulation (Jackson et al. 1995; Farnsworth et al. 1996; Jablonski 1997; Curtis and Wang 1998; Lilley et al. 2001). As predicted, *P. americana* responded to elevated CO₂ with an increase in total plant biomass, which likely could be attributed at least partially to the observed increase in A_{sat} .

In contrast, elevated temperatures could have a negative effect on plant biomass, in part because growth rate can increase (Ackerly et al. 1992), leaving determinate plants with less time for biomass accumulation before flowering (Rawson 1992; Morison and Lawlor 1999). However, some studies have reported that elevated CO₂ can at least partially compensate for the negative effect of high temperatures on plant biomass (Morison and Lawlor 1999; Lilley et al. 2001) or that the positive effects of elevated CO₂ on biomass are even greater at high temperatures (Farrar and Williams 1991; Hunt et al. 1996; Tjoelker et al. 1998). Despite these predictions, however, we found that *P. americana* exhibited no total biomass response to increased temperature, nor was there a significant CO₂ × temperature interaction.



Fig. 4 Leaf-level net photosynthesis at saturating light (A_{sat}) and stomatal conductance (g) of *Phytolacca americana*. Measurements were taken at the following three stages of development: seedling (August 4, 65 d after planting), flowering (August 30, 91 d), and mature seed (September 27, 119 d). Results from the flowering and mature seed stages are shown because they are most relevant for comparisons with the biomass data presented in figs. 1 and 2 and the transpiration data presented in fig. 5. Mean + 1 SE is shown for each treatment (n = 8).

Та	ble	e 3
----	-----	-----

Results of Repeated-Measures ANOVA for Leaf-Level Net Photosynthesis at Saturating Light (A_{sat}) and Stomatal Conductance (g)

Source $\frac{df}{(H)} \frac{df}{df} (E) = \frac{A_{sat}}{m^{-2}} \frac{\mu_{mon}}{s}$	
1 122 10074	$ \begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{ol} \operatorname{CO}_2 & g \ (\operatorname{mol} \ \operatorname{H}_2 \operatorname{O}_{-1}) & \operatorname{m}^{-2} \ \operatorname{s}^{-1} \end{array} $
1 123 196.74	↓ ^{***} 7.19 ^{**}
Temperature 2 123 0.91	0.67
Temperature \times CO ₂ 2 123 3.38	3* 23.17***
Time 2 123 908.01	288.79***
$CO_2 \times time$ 2 123 34.75	5*** 1.39
Temperature \times time41233.56	5 ^{**} 0.45

Note. In the analysis, CO_2 and temperature treatments were fixed factors and time was the repeat factor. Parameters A_{sat} and g were measured three times: at the seedling, flowering, and mature seed stages of development. The parameters df (H) and df (E) denote the degrees of freedom for the hypothesis and error sum of squares cross-product matrices, respectively.

* P < 0.05.

*** P < 0.001.

If plants grown under elevated CO_2 produce excess carbohydrates, roots are predicted to act as carbohydrate sinks, and the RSR increases (Farrar and Williams 1991; Farnsworth et al. 1996; Gregory et al. 1997). In contrast, high temperatures have been shown to decrease RSR (Farrar and Williams 1991; Mitchell et al. 1993; Gregory et al. 1997). As predicted, the *P. americana* RSR increased with elevated CO_2 and decreased with elevated temperature, with no interaction between CO_2 and temperature responses.

Phenology and Reproductive Allocation Responses to Elevated CO₂ and Temperature

Some studies have shown that elevated CO_2 advances plant phenology (Garbutt and Bazzaz 1984; LaDeau and Clark 2001), but the response in reproductive allocation seems to vary among species and community types (Jackson et al. 1995; Farnsworth et al. 1996; Schäppi 1996; Jablonski 1997; Leishman et al. 1999; Amthor 2001). A free-air CO_2 enrichment (FACE) experiment in a 19-yr-old loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*) plantation showed increased fecundity of forest trees because of both earlier reproductive maturation and higher proportional allocation to reproduction (LaDeau and Clark 2001). We found, however, that while elevated CO_2 advanced *P. americana* phenology, it decreased allocation to reproduction.

Elevated temperatures also have been shown to advance phenology (Seddigh et al. 1989; Rawson 1992; Moot et al. 1996; Morison and Lawlor 1999), but in some studies the advanced phenology left less time available for accumulating resources before fruit formation and reduced reproductive allocation (Mitchell et al. 1993; Moot et al. 1996). In some experiments, elevated CO_2 offset the negative effects of elevated temperature on reproductive allocation (Rawson 1995; Amthor 2001). In the present study, we found that phenology advanced and reproductive allocation increased under elevated temperatures, with no interaction between elevated CO2 and temperature. This could be because P. americana is a perennial that exhibits indeterminate growth, whereas most studies reporting decreased reproductive allocation under elevated temperatures have been conducted on annual species exhibiting determinate growth (Mitchell et al. 1993; Rawson 1995; Moot et al. 1996; Amthor 2001). We speculate that in P. americana, the shorter time to reproduction allowed less time for resource accumulation before fruiting. However, whereas this contributes to a reduction in reproductive allocation in many determinate plants, in P. americana it may have contributed more strongly to reduced allocation to root biomass (as could be seen by the reduced RSR under high temperatures) and allowed more allocation to reproductive biomass. In fact, it has been shown that flowering can mark a transition when considerably less allocation to roots occurs (Gregory et al. 1997).

Conclusions

Predictions of leaf-level responses to elevated CO₂ and air temperature are founded on physiological theory and are often used as the basis for estimating net primary productivity responses to climate change in global carbon cycle models (Long 1991; Geider et al. 2001). However, whole-plant responses to elevated CO₂ and air temperature often do not follow the clear trends predicted from leaf-level responses. As predicted, we found that P. americana's photosynthetic rate increased with elevated CO₂, while stomatal conductance and transpiration decreased. In addition, total biomass and RSR increased, but reproductive allocation decreased. In contrast, elevated temperature had no effect on photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance, or total biomass, but it decreased the RSR and increased transpiration, reproductive biomass, and reproductive allocation. Both elevated CO₂ and warm temperatures advanced phenology. Although leaf-level



Fig. 5 Whole-plant transpiration rates (g H₂O m⁻² d⁻¹) for *Phytolacca americana*. Mean + 1 SE is shown for each treatment (n = 4). The inset shows mean transpiration rate of each temperature regime across CO₂ treatments (values are mean + 1 SE, n = 8). Different letters above each bar represent a significant difference (P < 0.05) among the means, based on Scheffé post hoc comparisons.

^{**} P < 0.01.

photosynthetic models predict that biomass and reproductive responses should exhibit $CO_2 \times$ temperature interactions, *P. americana* exhibited no such interactions in this study. Thus, because such uncertainty exists in predicting whole-plant responses to changing CO_2 and temperature based on leaf-level responses, it is important to incorporate experimental measurements of whole-plant responses into predictive climate change carbon cycle models.

Furthermore, we found in this study that *P. americana*'s transpiration rate exhibited a unique response to changes in daily temperature regime, with transpiration increasing significantly when maximum daily temperature rose and exhibiting less response to changes in duration of high temperatures. Thus, we also suggest that careful consideration of changing daily temperature regime may be important for developing predictive understanding of plant and

ecosystem responses to changing atmospheric CO_2 and air temperature.

Acknowledgments

We thank D. Flynn for assistance with implementation of the experiment and R. Stomberg for management of the glasshouse facilities. S. Kaufman, J. Mohan, K. Stinson, and two anonymous reviewers provided helpful comments on an earlier version of the manuscript. A grant from the Andrew Mellon Foundation supported part of this research. J.-S. He was partially supported by the State Key Basic Research and Development Plan (project 2002CB412502) and the Natural Science Foundation of China (grants 90211016 and 40021101).

Literature Cited

- Ackerly DD, JS Coleman, SR Morse, FA Bazzaz 1992 CO₂ and temperature effects on leaf area production in two annual plant species. Ecology 73:1260–1269.
- Amthor JS 2001 Effects of atmospheric CO₂ concentration on wheat yield: review of results from experiments using various approaches to control CO₂ concentration. Field Crop Res 73:1–34.
- Armesto JJ, GP Cheplick, MJ McDonnell 1983 Observations on the reproductive biology of *Phytolacca americana* (Phytolaccaceae). Bull Torrey Bot Club 110:380–383.
- Basinger MA 2002 Vascular flora of Thompson Woods, Jackson County, Illinois. Trans Ill State Acad Sci 95:21–36.
- Caulkins DB, R Wyatt 1990 Variation and taxonomy of *Phytolacca americana* and *P. rigida* in the southeastern United States. Bull Torrey Bot Club 117:357-367.
- Cubasch U, GA Meehl, GJ Boer, RJ Stouffer, M Dix, A Noda, CA Senior, S Raper, KS Yap 2001 Projections of future climate change. Pages 526–582 *in* JT Houghton, Y Ding, eds. Climate change 2001: the scientific basis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Curtis PS, X Wang 1998 A meta-analysis of elevated CO₂ effects on woody plant mass, form, and physiology. Oecologia 113: 299–313.
- Dai A, TML Wigley, BA Boville, JT Kiehl, LE Buja 2001 Climates of the twentieth and twenty-first centuries simulated by the NCAR climate system model. J Climate 14:485–519.
- Drake BG, MA Gonzàlez-Meler, SP Long 1997 More efficient plants: a consequence of rising atmospheric CO₂? Annu Rev Plant Phys Plant Mol Biol 48:609–639.
- Easterling DR, B Horton, PD Jones, TC Peterson, TR Karl, DE Parker, MJ Salinger, et al 1997 Maximum and minimum temperature trends for the globe. Science 277:364–367.
- Farnsworth EJ, AM Ellison, WK Gong 1996 Elevated CO₂ alters anatomy, physiology, growth, and reproduction of red mangrove (*Rhizophora mangle* L.). Oecologia 108:599–609.
- Farrar JF, ML Williams 1991 The effects of increased atmospheric carbon dioxide and temperature on carbon partitioning, source-sink relations and respiration. Plant Cell Environ 14:819–830.
- Folland CK, TR Karl, JR Christy, RA Clarke, GV Gruza, J Jouzel, ME Mann, J Oerlemans, MJ Salinger, S-W Wang 2001 Observed climate variability and change. Pages 99–181 *in* JT Houghton, Y Ding, eds. Climate change 2001: the scientific basis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Garbutt K, FA Bazzaz 1984 The effects of elevated CO_2 on plants. III. Flower, fruit and seed production and abortion. New Phytol 98: 433–446.

- Geider RJ, EJ DeLucia, PG Falkowski, AC Finzi, JP Grime, J Grace, TM Kana, et al 2001 Primary productivity of planet earth: biological determinants and physical constraints in terrestrial and aquatic habitats. Glob Change Biol 7:849–882.
- Grace J 1997 Plant water relations. Pages 28–50 in MJ Crawley, ed. Plant ecology. 2nd ed. Blackwell Science, Oxford.
- Gregory PJ, JA Palta, GR Batts 1997 Root systems and root : mass ratio: carbon allocation under current and projected atmospheric conditions in arable crops. Plant Soil 187:221–228.
- Griffin KL, M Turnbull, R Murthy, G Lin, J Adams, B Farnsworth, T Mahato, G Bazin, M Potasnak, JA Berry 2002 Leaf respiration is differentially affected by leaf vs. stand-level night-time warming. Glob Change Biol 8:479–485.
- He J-S, FA Bazzaz 2003 Density-dependent responses of reproductive allocation to elevated atmospheric CO₂ in *Phytolacca americana*. New Phytol 157:229–339.
- Horton B 1995 Geographical distribution of changes in maximum and minimum temperatures. Atmos Res 37:101-117.
- Hunt HW, ET Elliott, JK Detling, JA Morgan, D-X Chen 1996 Responses of a C_3 and a C_4 perennial grass to elevated CO_2 and temperature under different water regimes. Glob Change Biol 2: 35–47.
- Jablonski LM 1997 Responses of vegetative and reproductive traits to elevated CO₂ and nitrogen in *Raphanus* varieties. Can J Bot 75: 533–545.
- Jackson RB, Y Luo, ZG Cardon, OE Sala, CB Field, HA Mooney 1995 Photosynthesis, growth and density for the dominant species in a CO₂-enriched grassland. J Biogeogr 22:221–225.
- Karl TR, PD Jones, RW Knight, G Kukla, N Plummer, V Razuvayev, KP Gallo, J Lindseay, RJ Charlson, TC Peterson 1993 A new perspective on recent global warming: asymmetric trends of daily maximum and minimum temperature. Bull Am Meteorol Soc 74: 1007–1023.
- Kirschbaum MUF 1994 The sensitivity of C_3 photosynthesis to increasing CO_2 concentration: a theoretical analysis of its dependence on temperature and background CO_2 concentration. Plant Cell Environ 17:747–754.
- Körner C 2000 Biosphere responses to CO₂ enrichment. Ecol Appl 10:1590–1619.
- LaDeau SL, JS Clark 2001 Rising CO₂ levels and the fecundity of forest trees. Science 292:95–98.
- Lee J-S, T Usami, T Oikawa 2001 High performance of CO₂temperature gradient chamber newly built for studying the global warming effect on a plant population. Ecol Res 16:347–358.

- Leishman MR, KJ Sanbrooke, RM Woodfin 1999 The effects of elevated CO₂ and light environment on growth and reproductive performance of four annual species. New Phytol 144:455–462.
- Leuning R 1995 A critical appraisal of a combined stomatalphotosynthesis model for C₃ plants. Plant Cell Environ 18:339–355.
- Lewis JD, M Lucash, D Olszyk, DT Tingey 2001 Seasonal patterns of photosynthesis in Douglas fir seedlings during the third and fourth year of exposure to elevated CO₂ and temperature. Plant Cell Environ 24:539–548.
- Lilley JM, TP Bolger, RM Gifford 2001 Productivity of *Trifolium* subterraneum and *Phalaris aquatica* under warmer, high CO₂ conditions. New Phytol 150:371–383.
- Loiseau P, JF Soussana 2000 Effects of elevated CO₂, temperature and N fertilization on nitrogen fluxes in a temperate grassland ecosystem. Glob Change Biol 6:953–965.
- Long SP 1991 Modification of the response of photosynthetic productivity to rising temperature by atmospheric CO₂ concentrations: has its importance been underestimated? Plant Cell Environ 14: 729–739.
- 1999 Understanding the impacts of rising CO₂: the contribution of environmental physiology. Pages 263–282 *in* MC Press, JD Scholes, GM Barker, eds. Physiological plant ecology. Blackwell Science, Oxford.
- Luomala E-M, K Laitinen, S Kellomäki, E Vapaavuori 2003 Variable photosynthetic acclimation in consecutive cohorts of Scots pine needles during 3 years of growth at elevated CO₂ and elevated temperature. Plant Cell Environ 26:645–660.
- Manunta P, MB Kirkham 1996 Respiration and growth of sorghum and sunflower under predicted increased night temperatures. J Agron Crop Sci 176:257–274.
- Mitchell RAC, VM Mitchell, SP Driscoll, J Franklin, DW Lawlor 1993 Effects of increased CO₂ concentration and temperature on growth and yield of winter wheat at two levels of nitrogen application. Plant Cell Environ 16:521–529.
- Monteith JL 1995 A reinterpretation of stomatal responses to humidity. Plant Cell Environ 18:357–364.
- Mooney HA, GW Koch, CB Field 1994 Potential impacts of asymmetrical day-night temperature increase on biotic systems. Pages 467–484 *in* G Kukla, TR Karl, M Riches, eds. Asymmetric change of daily temperature range. Proceedings of the International MINIMAX Workshop. U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, DC.
- Moot DJ, AL Henderson, JR Porter, MA Semenov 1996 Temperature, CO_2 and the growth and development of wheat: changes in the mean and variability of growing conditions. Clim Change 33: 351–368.
- Morison JIL, DW Lawlor 1999 Interactions between increasing CO₂ concentration and temperature on plant growth. Plant Cell Environ 22:659–682.
- Norby RJ, Y Luo 2004 Evaluating ecosystem responses to rising atmospheric CO₂ and global warming in a multi-factor world. New Phytol 162:281–293.
- Pendall E, S Bridgham, PJ Hanson, B Hungate, DW Kicklighter, DW Johnson, BE Law, et al 2004 Below-ground process responses to elevated CO₂ and temperature: a discussion of observations, measurement methods, and models. New Phytol 162:311–322.
- Prentice IC, GD Farquhar, MJR Fasham, ML Goulden, M Heimann, VJ Jaramillo, HS Kheshgi, C Le Quéré, RJ Scholes, DWR

Wallace 2001 The carbon cycle and atmospheric carbon dioxide. Pages 204–237 *in* JT Houghton, Y Ding, eds. Climate change 2001: the scientific basis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

- Rawson HM 1992 Plant responses to temperature under conditions of elevated CO₂. Aust J Bot 40:473–490.
- 1995 Yield responses of two wheat genotypes to carbon dioxide and temperature in field studies using temperature gradient tunnels. Aust J Plant Physiol 22:23–32.
- Ryan MG 1991 Effects of climate change on plant respiration. Ecol Appl 1:157–167.
- Sage RF, J Santrucek, DJ Grise 1995 Temperature effects on the photosynthetic response of C₃ plants to long-term CO₂ enrichment. Vegetatio 121:67–77.
- SAS Institute 1999 SAS/STAT user's guide, version 8.01. SAS Institute, Cary, NC.
- Sauer JD 1952 A geography of pokeweed. Ann Mo Bot Gard 39: 113-125.
- Saxe H, DS Ellsworth, J Heath 1998 Tree and forest functioning in an enriched CO₂ atmosphere. New Phytol 139:395–436.
- Schäppi B 1996 Growth dynamics and population development in an alpine grassland under elevated CO₂. Oecologia 106:93–99.
- Scheiner SM, J Gurevitch 2001 Design and analysis of ecological experiments. 2nd edition. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Seddigh M, GD Jolliff, JH Orf 1989 Night temperature effects on soybean phenology. Crop Sci 29:400–406.
- Sholtis JD, CA Gunderson, RJ Norby, DT Tissue 2004 Persistent stimulation of photosynthesis by elevated CO₂ in a sweetgum (*Liquidambar styraciflua*) forest stand. New Phytol 162:343–354.
- Stirling CM, M Heddell-Cowie, ML Jones, TW Ashenden, TH Sparks 1998 Effects of elevated CO₂ and temperature on growth and allometry of five native fast-growing annual species. New Phytol 140:343–354.
- Tjoelker MG, J Oleksyn, PB Reich 1998 Temperature and ontogeny mediate growth response to elevated CO₂ in seedlings of five boreal tree species. New Phytol 140:197–210.
- Turnbull MH, R Murthy, KL Griffin 2002 The relative impact of daytime and night-time warming on photosynthetic capacity in *Populus deltoides*. Plant Cell Environ 25:1729–1737.
- Turnbull MH, DT Tissue, R Murthy, X Wang, AD Sparrow, KL Griffin 2004 Nocturnal warming increases photosynthesis at elevated CO₂ partial pressure in *Populus deltoides*. New Phytol 161: 819–826.
- Turnbull MH, D Whitehead, DT Tissue, WSF Schuster, KJ Brown, KL Griffin 2001 Responses of leaf respiration to temperature and leaf characteristics in three deciduous tree species vary with site water availability. Tree Physiol 21:571–578.
- Wayne PM, EG Reekie, FA Bazzaz 1998 Elevated CO₂ ameliorates birch response to high temperature and frost stress: implications for modeling climate-induced geographic range shifts. Oecologia 114: 335–342.
- Wigley TML, SCB Raper 2001 Interpretation of high projections for global-mean warming. Science 293:451–454.
- Wilson AD, DJ Shure 1993 Plant competition and nutrient limitation during early succession in the southern Appalachian Mountains. Am Midl Nat 129:1–9.
- Ziska LH, JA Bunce 1997 The role of temperature in determining the stimulation of CO₂ assimilation at elevated carbon dioxide concentration in soybean seedlings. Physiol Plant 100:126–132.